**CCSM Issues Committee**

**Trauma-Informed Care Final Draft – 4/12/2023**

**The Issue**

During the1990’s in Maine, DHHS spent a significant amount of time doing systems work to make Maine a trauma-informed State. At that time, we had an office of trauma services and we did statewide training and worked to implement trauma-informed systems of care.

We have seen a decade or more of a decline of trauma focus with the adult population. We applaud the State of Maine for its work in Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) for children. If we stop focusing on childhood ACEs once people become adults, we have seriously missed the mark.

We want to see more systemic work on trauma-informed practices for the State in all places from childhood throughout adulthood. This should include providers, individuals receiving services and the educational systems.

**Recommendations**

1. ACE evaluations should be offered for all adults receiving services, including primary care. This could spark an important conversation between individuals served and their providers.
2. ACE evaluations should also be offered to individuals in jails and prisons and discussed as this information could help people as they look at the root causes of what might have led to their incarceration and break the cycle of recidivism.
3. Trauma-informed modalities should be a part of a continuum of care throughout life.

**Expected Outcomes**

If we start with trauma-informed care, it will open the door to opportunities to meet people where they are at, while supporting their recovery.

We need to shift our focus from “what is wrong with you” to “what happened to you!” “Our world is full of tension and divisiveness; we need to reach out to one another with a new approach. When we ask the question "what happened to you?" instead of "what's wrong with you," we dig deeper and begin to understand the why (history/reasons) behind the what (attitude/behavior) which breaks down barriers and builds bridges of understanding with one another. Changing the question will, no doubt, have a transformative effect on our community and the world!” (Quote from Jamie Meyer, see link below)

[Jamie Meyer: Changing the Question from What's Wrong w/ You? to What Happened to You? | TED Talk](https://www.ted.com/talks/jamie_meyer_changing_the_question_from_what_s_wrong_w_you_to_what_happened_to_you)

**Resources**

[ACEs Test - Pinetree Institute](https://pinetreeinstitute.org/aces-test/)

[What is Trauma-Informed Care? - Trauma-Informed Care Implementation Resource Center (chcs.org)](https://www.traumainformedcare.chcs.org/what-is-trauma-informed-care/)

**“Trauma-informed care shifts the focus from *“What’s wrong with you?”*to *“What happened to you?”*** A trauma-informed approach to care acknowledges that healthcare organizations and care teams need to have a complete picture of a patient’s life situation — past and present — in order to provide effective healthcare services with a healing orientation. Adopting trauma-informed practices can potentially improve patient engagement, treatment adherence, and health outcomes, as well as provider and staff wellness. It can also help reduce avoidable care and excess costs for both the healthcare and social service sectors.

Trauma-informed care seeks to:

* Realize the widespread impact of trauma and understand paths for recovery;
* Recognize the signs and symptoms of trauma in patients, families, and staff;
* Integrate knowledge about trauma into policies, procedures, and practices; and
* Actively avoid re-traumatization.”`

*(Adapted from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration’s*[***“Trauma-Informed Approach.”***](https://www.samhsa.gov/nctic/trauma-interventions)*)*

A comprehensive approach to trauma-informed care must be adopted at both the **clinical *and*organizational** levels. Too frequently, providers and health systems attempt to implement trauma-informed care at the clinical level without the proper supports necessary for broad organizational culture change. This can lead to uneven, and often unsustainable, shifts in day-to-day operations. This narrow clinical focus also fails to recognize how non-clinical staff, such as front desk workers and security personnel, often have significant interactions with patients and can be critical to ensuring that patients feel safe.